

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/714,785	11/15/2000	Jonathan J. Hull	15358-006110US	5217	
20350	7590 11/26/2003		EXAMINER		
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP			DODDS, HAROLD E		
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			2177	12	
	iΥ.		DATE MAILED: 11/26/2003	1.0	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Amatication No.	A	7-			
<i>≥ 6</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/714,785	HULL ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Harold E. Dodds, Jr.	2177				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress			
THE REPLY FILED 14 November 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper re ich places the appli	ply to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad- event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	of the final rejection. SE FINAL REJECTION.	See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dahave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortener (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of th d statutory period for reply originally set ir	e fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:					
(a) Method they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or	simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	ms.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely file	d amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: Set		sidered but does No	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLEL	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19,24 and 32-38</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10.⊠ Other: See Continuation Sheet						
1 dard E. O oddo, D. 11/25/03	(D-1un					
11/25/03	GRETA ROBINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Independent claim 35 is rendered obvious by the combination of Kamise (Unexamined Japanese Patent JP 10246041A Machine Assisted Translation) and Pare, Jr. et al. (U.S. Patent No 5,764,789). Kamise teaches "one or more input devices" at page 15, sec, 0012, "one or more sensors configured to capture information about a visitor" at page 12, sec. 0009, "a data processing system" at page 4, design item 200, "wherein the data processing system is configured to receive information provided by the visitor using the one or more input devices" at page 15, sec. 0012, "and information about the visitor captured by the one or more sensors" at page 12, sec. 0009, "based upon the information provided by the visitor using the one or more input devices" at page 15, sec. 0012, "and the information about the visitor captured by the one or more sensors" at page 12, sec. 0009, "distinct from the information provided by the visitor using the one or more input devices" at page 15, sec 0012, "an the information about the visitor captured by the one or more sensors" at page 12, sec. 0009, and "to a person to be visited by the visitor" at page 22, sec. 0022 and Pare teaches "and a communication interface" at col. 21, lines 48-53, "wherein the data processing system is configured to determine additional information about the visitor" at col. 41, lines 31-33, "the additional information" at col. 41, lines 31-33, and "wherein the communication interface is configured to communicate the additional information" at col. 21, lines 48-53 and col. 41, lines 31-33. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to combine Pare with Kamise to provide additional biometric information in order to support the identification of the visitor. Through the network and the Internet, the machines would be capable of accessing the biometric database. By connecting to the biometric database, the system gathers information needed to identify the visitors. Storing the information across a web interface allows the system to access information that is not stored on the local database. Multiple copies of the same information do not have to be stored on each terminal, thus less storage is required at each terminal..

Continuation of 10. Other: New phrases have been added to independent claims 1, 16, and 24. For independent claim 1, the phrase "the additional information distinct from the information about said visitor gathered from said at least one input device and said at least one sensor" has been added to the claim. For independent claims 16 and 24, the phrase "the additional information distinct from the information about said visitor gathered in the interactive session" has been added to the claims.